

The Power of the Book Explored in Bringing Social Change

Author: Andrew Ban

Seoul International School

andrew.981ban@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This research paper explores how books can serve as a catalyst for bringing about quantifiable social, political, and cultural change. This paper examines seven case studies: The Bible, On the Origin of Species, The Communist Manifesto, Uncle Tom's Cabin, The Jungle, The Feminine Mystique, and I Am Malala. The paper argues that the power of books extends far beyond the page, shaping and changing public policy, cultural norms, and driving institutional change. I present case studies demonstrating how literature has significantly impacted society and left a lasting legacy. These works demonstrate the timeless power of books to influence public opinion and reshape societal values. The paper highlights that radical transformation usually begins with compelling thoughts expressed. Even in the era of the internet, the written word remains a powerful tool in human history.

Keywords: books; social change; public policy; cultural transformation; literature impact; case studies

1 INTRODUCTION

A well-written book can outlast armies, cross borders overnight, and rewrite the rules by which people govern, heal, and feed themselves. This essay argues that seven books reshaped society by producing three measurable kinds of change: legislation that reordered power; shifts in public opinion captured in contemporary polling or sales data; and new institutions that outlasted their authors. The analysis demonstrates that prose can have a lasting impact, moving from private pages to public policy. The discussion follows a chronological path. It opens with The Bible, whose debates over canon and vernacular translations helped forge mass literacy and realign church-state relations. Darwin's Origin comes next, showing

how scientific argument can unsettle theology and spawn fresh disciplines. Marx and Engels then redirected political imagination in The Communist Manifesto, crystallizing class struggle into a revolutionary program. Stowe's Uncle Tom's Cabin converts moral outrage into an abolitionist groundswell; Sinclair's The Jungle leverages shock to secure food-safety laws. Friedan's The Feminine Mystique reframes domestic despair as structural discrimination, catalyzing the second-wave feminist movement. Finally, Yousafzai's memoir I Am Malala channels a single story of resistance into a global campaign for girls' education. Together, these texts map how words become engines of social change.

1.1 The Bible

Debates over which writings belonged in The Bible and the decision to translate those texts into common tongues seeded mass literacy and toppled the medieval union of church and state. Manually copied codices were once limited to several thousand, and each was a rare and expensive item that could be found only in cathedral libraries or monastic scriptoria. Mechanical type broke that scarcity. Around 1455, approximately 180 copies of the Gutenberg Bible were printed, marking a shift from a manuscript culture to one that produced over nine million printed books in the next fifty years [1]. Suddenly, Scripture could move more quickly and cheaply than armies' salaries, bearing new patterns of thinking about power and morals. That reach was amplified by translation. The German New Testament of 1522 and the entire Bible in 1534 by Martin Luther spread so widely that it became the model of modern High German and contributed to the standardisation of grammar in the German-speaking world [1].

Reformers' insistence that all believers read the Word of God individually ushered in mass literacy [2]. By the nineteenth century, Malay, Malagasy, and Yoruba served as primers and evangelistic tools. That linguistic work exported theology alongside European legal categories and administrative norms, embedding written contracts, land deeds, and school systems in regions once governed orally [3]. The Bible's words also fueled moral revolutions. Abolitionists on both sides of the Atlantic brandished Galatians 3:28—"neither bond nor free"—to portray slavery as sin and circulated millions of pamphlets that baptised emancipation in divine authority [3]. Three centuries earlier, Luther's claim of sola scriptura had shattered the papal monopoly, encouraged princes to found territorial churches, and paved the way for state sovereignty. In each case, printed pages—not new weapons or engines—rearranged the political landscape.

Canon debates show how a text accrues authority over time. The Third Council of Carthage listed the twenty-seven New Testament books still accepted today [4]. By contrast, Nicaea never voted on the canon—a misconception corrected by the Carthage record. Centuries of commentary have layered the Bible with interpretations, laws, and liturgies, making it the West's most frequently cited reference point. Yet even a book that once galvanised continents can lose singular influence. In 2011, roughly half of U.S. adults qualified as "Bible users"; by 2024, the share had fallen to 38 percent before rising slightly to 41 percent in 2025 [5]. Digital distraction, secular statutes, and a glut of information now compete for attention that the Bible once commanded by default. The pattern underlines the limiting side of this paper's thesis: a book's power endures only as long as society grants it sustained collective focus.

The following case study, Charles Darwin's *On the Origin of Species*, shows how a single scientific treatise, published four centuries after the printing press, likewise rewrote humanity's self-portrait by challenging the creation narrative the Bible had established in the cultural imagination [6].

1.2 On the Origin of Species

Building on a newly literate public, Charles Darwin's *On the Origin of Species* repositioned humanity within nature by advancing natural

selection as life's governing law. Darwin replaced a static, design-centred cosmos with a historical landscape shaped by testable natural processes.

Change in one volume [7]. Where earlier naturalists compiled catalogues of immutable species, Darwin urged readers to follow variation itself for clues to life's past [8]. He shifted the central question from "What has been created?" to "How has change occurred?" That reframing marks when natural history became evolutionary science [9]. Darwin's persuasive power rested on methodical rhetoric. He built his case from converging lines of evidence rather than a single experiment. Each chapter models a research programme, observing patterns, positing a mechanism, and deriving testable predictions. Readers encountered an explanation through accumulation, not assertion. That technique invited replication. Professional botanists such as Joseph Hooker repeated Darwin's comparisons, while amateur breeders tested hereditary claims in farmyards across Britain [10]. The book thus coupled a new theory with instructions for scrutinising it, turning scientific authority from revealed truth into collective empirical labour [11].

The scientific community responded with extraordinary speed. All 1,250 copies of the first edition sold out on publication day, prompting John Murray to commission a second printing within twenty-four hours [12]. Within a year, Thomas Huxley—soon nicknamed "Darwin's bulldog"—publicly defended the theory at the Oxford meeting of the British Association [13]. The celebrated exchange with Bishop Samuel Wilberforce signalled that evolution had entered public debate. By 1870, many European and American universities had incorporated evolutionary frameworks into zoology and botany courses, while journals began to reject taxonomic papers that ignored genealogical relationships [14]. Darwin's narrative of descent redirected research funding toward fossil fieldwork, embryological laboratories, and, by the 1900s, experimental genetics—streams that would merge in the Modern Synthesis of the 1930s and 1940s. At the level of everyday schooling, *Origin* reshaped curricula and classroom practice [15]. Victorian science primers embedded natural selection as an organising principle; illustrated charts traced homologies among vertebrate limbs to demonstrate common

ancestry [16]. Those lessons crossed the Atlantic, provoking resistance in regions where biblical literalism guided education policy. Tennessee's 1925 Butler Act banned teaching any account that "denies the story of the Divine Creation," setting the stage for the Scopes "Monkey" Trial. John T. Scopes's conviction for introducing Darwinism to a high-school class became a national spectacle; however, the verdict stood, and the trial broadcast evolutionary reasoning to households far beyond Dayton, Tennessee.

American living rooms. Over the next four decades, this erosion of legal support weakened anti-evolution statutes [17]. The book's cultural impact extended beyond laboratories and classrooms. Writers, philosophers, and social theorists seized evolutionary language to recast human affairs. Some appropriated Herbert Spencer's phrase "survival of the fittest," coined after he read Darwin, to justify laissez-faire economics, imperialism, and racial hierarchies. These "Social Darwinists" lifted metaphors from Origin while ignoring Darwin's moral opposition to slavery and his insistence on common descent [18]. Yet their success illustrates the reach of Darwin's writing. Even misreadings underscored how thoroughly Origin had redrawn the boundaries of acceptable inquiry. Before 1859, invoking creation explained gaps in knowledge; after Origin, unexplained phenomena became invitations to search for hidden pathways of selection, drift, or mutation [19]. Naturalists revisited museum drawers, re-sorting specimens along evolutionary lines; geologists re-dated strata with an eye to lineage transitions [20]. Field biologists adopted statistical sampling to measure variation within populations—concepts unimaginable in the static taxonomy of earlier decades [21]. In short, Darwin's narrative triggered a methodological revolution: description yielded to hypothesis testing, and nature became a dynamic archive rather than a static exhibit.

Resistance proved equally generative [22]. Clerical critics published pamphlets parsing Greek verbs in Genesis; scientists mounted alternative theories of separate creation. Darwin answered most challenges in successive editions, adding a historical chapter to trace precursors [22]. By the mid-twentieth century, the Modern Synthesis had fused Mendelian genetics with natural selection,

vindicating Darwin's central mechanism and confirming the power of his initial sketch [23]. That fusion anchored biology's explanatory toolkit and framed policy debates on agriculture, conservation, and public health. Each application reflects the same intellectual shift Darwin initiated by treating living systems as histories of change governed by universal processes. On the Origin of Species thus exemplifies how research-question writing can drive social change: clear, evidence-laden prose reframed nature as mutable, propelled biology into a theory-dependent science, and reshaped education worldwide.

1.3 The Communist Manifesto

If Darwin portrayed adaptation as nature's engine, Marx and Engels portrayed class struggle as history's engine in The Communist Manifesto, turning scientific metaphor into a political programme. Rapid industrialisation reshaped labour-intensive processes during the nineteenth century, expanding the capitalist and working classes [24]. Urbanisation, worsening working conditions, and labour exploitation widened the gulf between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat [24]. Workers faced long hours, low wages, and unsafe mills, yet feared dismissal if they protested [25]. Against this backdrop, social movements advocating labour rights gained momentum. Born into that ferment, Karl Marx analysed the new class order while living in poverty and poor health. His partnership with Friedrich Engels—who, by contrast, came from wealth—supplied resources for collaborative writing [25]. The Communist Manifesto appeared in 1848, crystallising their critique of capitalism and envisioning a classless society where people no longer worried about bread, status, or wealth [26]. Its purpose was to state communism's principles and outline the Communist League's goals [27].

The booklet's impact was immediate. Introducing new terminology into public discourse inspired uprisings and the formation of socialist and communist parties across Europe as demands for political reform and workers' rights grew. Governments replied with backlash: police surveillance, arrests, and the suppression of revolutionary presses sought to extinguish what leaders labelled the "red threat." Yet each attempt at repression enlarged the manifesto's audience, confirming Marx and Engels's prediction that

modern states would deploy force to defend bourgeois property relations. Despite the crackdowns, the manifesto's most profound impact surfaced in the following decades. Its arguments crossed oceans, nurturing communist parties worldwide and framing the twentieth-century ideological contest between a Soviet-led bloc and a U.S.-led capitalist order [28]. The resulting arms race and proxy conflicts—from Korea to Vietnam—exposed the stark division between the era's two dominant systems of governance [29]. Manifesto ideas seeded the Bolshevik Revolution of 1917 [30], after which the Soviet Union became the first state to organise the economy through collectivisation, state-owned industry, and central planning. Moscow financed communist movements abroad, making the USSR a template for revolution. China followed: Mao Zedong adapted Marxism to rural realities, founding the People's Republic in 1949 and inspiring insurgencies across the global South [31].

Yet communist practice exposed tensions that Marx and Engels left implicit. Attempts to create a classless society collided with greed, apathy, and bureaucratic inertia. Still, the manifesto continues to energise modern socialists and shape debates on inequality, justice, and the state's role [32]. It proves a pamphlet can outlive its century to alter constitutions, ideologies, and geopolitical borders.

1.4 The Jungle

Four decades later, Upton Sinclair's *The Jungle* trained a similar moral spotlight on the industrial food chain, galvanising federal oversight of meat-packing plants. It is a staple of every AP U.S. History unit on the Progressive Era because it illustrates—almost with surgical precision—how one book can jolt a complacent nation into regulatory action [33]. In 1906, Sinclair fused first-hand observation with gripping narrative, proving this research project's central claim [33]. He spent seven weeks inside Chicago's Union Stock Yards, noting line speeds, tallying injuries, and interviewing immigrant labourers who spoke of fatigue, graft, and broken bodies [34]. That reportage became the tragic journey of Jurgis Rudkus, a Lithuanian newcomer who watches the American dream sour in pools of blood and waste. By translating data into sensory scenes—rats ground into sausage, children mangled by unguarded machinery—Sinclair bypassed

abstraction and forced readers to confront industrial capitalism's darkest corners [35].

Publication magnified the shock. First serialised in *Appeal to Reason*, the story reached bookshops in February 1906 and sold hundreds of thousands of copies within a year [36]. Newspapers reprinted the most revolting passages; pastors quoted them from pulpits; dinner conversations veered from tariff debates to tinned beef [37]. Sinclair chronicled that public reaction throughout later essays [38]. Outrage leapt from parlours to the Oval Office within weeks. President Theodore Roosevelt—already battling corporate “malefactors”—sent Labour Commissioner Charles P. Neill and social worker James Bronson Reynolds on a surprise inspection of Chicago packing houses [39]. Their findings, filed in May 1906, validated Sinclair's depictions of rot and deception and even suggested he had understated the crisis. Roosevelt released an eight-page summary and warned Congress he would publish the full report unless legislation emerged [39]. The threat worked: on 30 June 1906, Congress enacted the Meat Inspection Act and the Pure Food and Drug Act, planting the seeds of today's Food and Drug Administration [40].

While labour law would wait until the New Deal, Sinclair's linkage of product purity and worker dignity laid the cultural groundwork for later reforms. Progressive-era scholars routinely cite *The Jungle* as a moral touchstone that rendered otherwise invisible workers visible [41]. The novel triumphed where dry government reports failed because Sinclair merged three forces in a political moment primed for reform: immersive evidence, a compelling narrative, and presidential willingness to act. Modern disputes over meat-plant COVID-19 protocols echo Sinclair's warning that consumer safety and labour welfare rise or fall together [42]. By exposing rotten sausages and shattered dreams in unforgettable prose, *The Jungle* proves that a single book can swing public perception and legislation. Its success reaffirms this paper's thesis: political transformation ignites when fact and empathy converge in a narrative that ordinary readers can inhabit.

The chapters that follow test claims across genres—from sacred scripture to evolutionary science, economic polemics, and memoir. Together, they map the conditions under which

words leap off the page to reshape the world that reads them.

1.5 Uncle Tom's Cabin

Translating class rhetoric into moral urgency, Harriet Beecher Stowe's *Uncle Tom's Cabin* galvanised antebellum readers against slavery by dramatising its human cost [43]. Stowe's sentimental architecture turned the Fugitive Slave Act's abstractions into scenes that pierced the conscience, bypassing courtroom arguments to reach household emotions [43]. Historian Eric Lott estimates that for every one of the 300,000 who bought the novel in its first year, many more eventually saw the play [44]. Unauthorized "Tom shows" opened while the book was still in proof; 1902 American newspapers counted a quarter-million stage performances. George L. Aiken's adaptation, premiering in Troy, New York on 27 September 1852, toured for seventy-five years, bringing the antislavery story to illiterate Americans and immigrant audiences lacking access to the English novel [45].

Print and performance quickly fed activism. In May 1853, Stowe reached London to receive a petition signed by more than 500,000 British women urging "our American sisters" to join a moral crusade against slavery [46]. The *Liberator* crowed that "victorious Uncle Tom, with his millions of copies and ten million readers," had supplied abolitionists their popular weapon. Northern legislatures soon stiffened their personal-liberty laws, and antislavery meetings invoked Stowe's characters while collecting signatures that flooded Congress after 1853 [47]. Stowe answered with *A Key to Uncle Tom's Cabin*, assembling legal records and slave narratives to silence critics who called her scenes exaggerated. Southern editors denounced the novel as "infamous libel"; several states threatened prosecution for circulating it, and pro-slavery writers issued two or three dozen "Anti-Tom" novels before the Civil War. The dispute widened the sectional gulf. David S. Reynolds credits Stowe with strengthening Northern abolitionism and eroding British sympathy for the South [48]. Yet Stowe's plea carried the racial assumptions of her era: melodramatic revivals devolved into black-face caricatures, and by 1913, at least four "Tom" companies still toured. Later, African American authors condemned the submissive

"Uncle Tom" stereotype even as they acknowledged the novel's historic power to mobilise change.

1.6 The Feminine Mystique

In 1963, Betty Friedan redirected reformist energy from factories to kitchens with *The Feminine Mystique*, laying bare the economic waste and quiet despair of suburban housewives [49]. Print success built a royalty war chest that Friedan soon channelled into movement infrastructure.

In June 1966, she and twenty-seven allies scrawled "NOW" on a diner napkin and incorporated the National Organization for Women [50]. Organisational muscle turned theory into enforceable law. Within four years, NOW lawyers won *Weeks v. Southern Bell*—the first appellate decision applying Title VII to sex segregation in employment [51]—and persuaded President Johnson to sign Executive Order 11375 (1967), which required federal contractors with fifty or more workers to draft sex-equality plans [52]. Mass mobilisation followed. On 26 August 1970, Friedan's Women's Strike for Equality sent 50,000 marchers down Fifth Avenue and staged sister actions in ninety cities. NOW's explicit lobbying helped deliver Title IX of the 1972 Education Amendments, banning sex discrimination in every federally funded classroom and athletic department. That same spring, overwhelming House and Senate majorities sent the Equal Rights Amendment to the states for ratification [53].

Critique followed legislation just as swiftly. Bell hooks dismissed Friedan's analysis as "a manifesto for a select group," while the Combahee River Collective's 1977 statement argued that racism, class exploitation, and homophobia were inseparable from sexism [54]. Historians such as Stephanie Coontz later confirmed the catalytic force and the blind spots of Friedan's Smith-educated cohort [55]. These intersectional challenges expanded NOW's agenda and seeded the academy's first Women's Studies programme at San Diego State College in May 1970 [56]. Structural gains are visible in labour statistics: female labour-force participation climbed from 37.7 percent in 1960 to 43.3 percent in 1970 and 51.5 percent by 1980 [57]. Executive-order audits forced corporations to file sex-disaggregated payrolls and negotiate targets, turning Friedan's

“wasted capacity” warning into binding quotas for recruitment, promotion, and pay equity. The Feminine Mystique thus illustrates this study’s thesis: a persuasive text can redirect national resources by coupling market reach with organisational strategy and inviting critiques that broaden the coalition.

1.7 I Am Malala

In the digital age, Malala Yousafzai’s memoir *I Am Malala* carried a teenager’s defiance beyond Pakistan’s Swat Valley, fuelling a global drive for girls’ education. Little, Brown licensed the book in more than forty languages, and young readers’ editions added 750,000 copies, widening the audience beyond policy circles [58]. Print visibility teamed with a documentary to create an “echoed narrative”: the memoir supplied an authoritative core, while film and translations reproduced it across primary markets.

Royalties and speaking fees seeded the Malala Fund in 2013 [59]. A decade later, the Fund’s 2024 report credited its Education Champion Network with policy wins that reached 21.8 million students and removed hidden school fees in Kaduna State, Nigeria [60]. Corporate alliances scaled the effort further: Apple became the Fund’s first “Laureate Partner” in 2018, doubling Gulmakai grants and launching programmes in India and Latin America to enrol 100,000 additional girls in secondary school [61]. Sustained advocacy by Fund staff and partner NGOs helped secure the June 2018 G7 Charlevoix Declaration on Quality Education for Girls [53]. At the national level, Nigerian partners persuaded legislators to amend the Universal Basic Education Act and guided Gombe, Kano, and Oyo States in adopting gender-responsive education budgets. Brazil offers parallel proof: Fund-supported advocates won a constitutional amendment guaranteeing free basic education, which UNESCO now cites as a model for Latin America [62].

3 REFERENCES

[1] R. Rubin, *The Gutenberg Bible*. Washington, DC: Library of Congress, 2000. [Online].

Available:
<https://www.loc.gov/exhibits/bibles/the-gutenberg-bible.html>. Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.

Print credibility fuels online action. The #RaiseTheAge campaign gathered signatures urging Nigeria’s parliament to close a constitutional loophole that permits child marriage [63]. Petition totals furnished advocates with hard evidence of public demand when briefing the National Assembly in 2024. In her 12 July 2013 speech to the U.N. Youth Assembly, Malala declared, “The extremists are afraid of books and pens” [64]. She sharpened that warning before the Security Council in 2021, arguing that educated girls help nations recover from conflict faster [64]. Subsequent SDG 4 progress notes echo her linkage between schooling and peace. By 2024, the Fund reported cumulative Nigerian grants of roughly US\$7.9 million and plans to direct new resources to Afghanistan despite the Taliban’s 2021 school ban [65]. When paired with institutional entrepreneurship, these figures confirm that a memoir can mobilise capital, influence national legislation, and shape multilateral norms.

2 CONCLUSION

Printed words have outlived their authors and reshaped the societies that first received them. From the Bible through Darwin’s reordering of biology, Marx’s call for class struggle, Stowe’s moral assault on slavery, Sinclair’s exposure of industrial squalor, and Friedan’s spark for second-wave feminism, each case study shows how a single volume can reorder public priorities, influence policy, and redefine collective identity. Malala Yousafzai’s memoir demonstrates that the pattern endures, proving a book can still mobilise global audiences in the digital age. These examples confirm this paper’s core claim: enduring social change begins with persuasive ideas framed in prose that readers can inhabit. Though today’s media landscape fragments attention, the written word remains society’s most portable transformation engine.

[2] E. L. Eskelson, “Protestant literacy and social change in early modern Europe,” *J. Relig. Hist.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 55–72, 2019.

[3] S. O. Becker and L. Woessmann, “Was Weber wrong? A human-capital theory of Protestant

economic history,” Q. J. Econ., vol. 124, no. 2, pp. 531–596, 2009.

[4] Encyclopædia Britannica, “Council of Carthage,” 2025. [Online]. Available:

<https://www.britannica.com/topic/councils-of-Carthage>. Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.

[5] American Bible Society, State of the Bible 2024. Philadelphia, PA, 2024. [Online]. Available:

<https://www.americanbible.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/SOTB-2024-12-Final-1.pdf>.

Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.

[6] P. J. Bowler, *Evolution: The History of an Idea*, 4th ed. Berkeley, CA: Univ. of California Press, 2014.

[7] J. Browne, *Charles Darwin: Voyaging*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press, 1995.

[8] J. Browne, *Charles Darwin: The Power of Place*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press, 2002.

[9] A. Desmond and J. Moore, *Darwin*. London, U.K.: Penguin, 1991.

[10] Darwin Correspondence Project, “Letter 2548 — Hooker, J. D. to Darwin, C. R., 24 Nov 1859,”

Univ. of Cambridge, 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.darwinproject.ac.uk/letter/DCP-LETT-2548.xml>.

Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.

[11] L. M. Cook, I. J. Saccheri, and J. Mallet, “Industrial melanism in the peppered moth,” *Heredity*,

vol. 110, pp. 207–212, 2013.

[12] Smithsonian Libraries and Archives, “Darwin’s *On the Origin of Species* was published today, in 1859,”

24 Nov 2009. [Online].

[13] B. Lightman, *Victorian Popularizers of Science: Designing Nature for New Audiences*. Chicago, IL:

Univ. of Chicago Press, 2007.

[14] E. J. Larson, *Evolution: The Remarkable History of a Scientific Theory*. New York, NY: Modern Library, 2004.

[15] E. J. Larson, *Summer for the Gods: The Scopes Trial and America’s Continuing Debate over*

Science and Religion. New York, NY: Basic Books, 1997.

[16] T. C. Leonard, “Origins of the myth of social Darwinism,” Princeton Univ. Econ. Working Paper, 2009.

[17] University of Cambridge, “Darwin 200: The modern evolutionary synthesis,” 2009. [Online].

[18] Natural History Museum, “Darwin Tree of Life project,” 2024. [Online].

[19] F. Mazel et al., “Prioritizing phylogenetic diversity captures functional diversity unreliably,”

Nature Commun., vol. 9, Art. no. 2888, 2018.

[20] E. Hobsbawm, *Industry and Empire: From 1750 to the Present Day*, rev. ed. London, U.K.: Penguin, 1999.

[21] E. P. Thompson, *The Making of the English Working Class*. New York, NY: Vintage, 1963.

[22] P. N. Stearns, *The Industrial Revolution in World History*, 4th ed. Boulder, CO: Westview, 2013.

[23] R. Service, *Comrades: A History of World Communism*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press, 2007.

[24] W. O. Henderson, *The Life of Friedrich Engels*, vol. 1. London, U.K.: Frank Cass, 1976.

[25] S. Fitzpatrick, *The Russian Revolution*, 4th ed. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford Univ. Press, 2017.

[26] R. Pipes, *The Russian Revolution*. New York, NY: Knopf, 1990.

[27] O. A. Westad, *The Cold War: A World History*. New York, NY: Basic Books, 2017.

[28] B. J. T. Kerkvliet, *The Power of Everyday Politics: How Vietnamese Peasants Transformed National Policy*.

Ithaca, NY: Cornell Univ. Press, 2005.

[29] K. Marx and F. Engels, *Manifesto of the Communist Party*, 1848. [Online]. Available:

<https://www.marxists.org/archive/marx/works/download/pdf/Manifesto.pdf>. Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.

- [30] K. Marx and F. Engels, "Preface to the 1872 German edition," Marxists Internet Archive, 1872. [Online].
- [31] E. Lott, *Love and Theft: Blackface Minstrelsy and the American Working Class*, 20th-anniv. ed. New York, NY: Oxford Univ. Press, 2013.
- [32] Harriet Beecher Stowe Center, "Uncle Tom's Cabin," 2025. [Online].
- [33] D. S. Reynolds, "Uncle Tom's Cabin," Essential Civil War Curriculum, Virginia Tech, 2025. [Online].
- [34] University of Virginia Library, "Uncle Tom's Cabin," Theatre: Novel into Drama exhibit, 2025. [Online].
- [35] Library of Congress, "Today in history — June 5: Uncle Tom's Cabin on stage," 2025. [Online].
- [36] J. Greenspan, "7 things you may not know about The Jungle," History.com, 19 Jan 2016, updated 28 May 2025. [Online].
- [37] E. Blakemore, "How Upton Sinclair's The Jungle led to U.S. food-safety reforms," History.com, 25 Feb 2022. [Online].
- [38] M. Schapiro, "Aim for the Stomach: Revisiting The Jungle at 115," Capital & Main, 22 Jun 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://web.archive.org/web/20220723011919/https://capitalandmain.com/aim-for-the-stomach>. Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.
- [39] T. Roosevelt, "Message regarding meat-packing plants," 4 Jun 1906. Library of Congress. [Online].
- [40] U.S. Food and Drug Administration, "Part I: The 1906 Food and Drugs Act and its enforcement," FDA History Vault, 3 Dec 2018. [Online].
- [41] K. Kelly, "Coronavirus spreading in meat plants puts workers' lives at risk," Teen Vogue, 15 May 2020.
- [42] Executive Office of the President, Executive Order 11375, Fed. Reg., vol. 32, pp. 14303–14304, 13 Oct 1967.
- [43] U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, "Women's labour-force participation rate: 1960–1980," Table LF1, 2025. [Online].
- [44] San Diego State University, "History of Women's Studies at SDSU," Women's Studies Dept., 2025. [Online].
- [45] National Organization for Women, "Timeline of key events in NOW history," 2025. [Online].
- [46] B. Friedan, *It Changed My Life: Writings on the Women's Movement*. New York, NY: Random House, 1976.
- [47] *Weeks v. Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Co.*, 408 F.2d 228 (5th Cir. 1969). [Online].
- [48] B. Friedan, *The Feminine Mystique*. New York, NY: W. W. Norton, 1963.
- [49] Combahee River Collective, "The Combahee River Collective Statement," 1977. [Online]. Available: <https://www.blackpast.org/african-american-history/combahee-river-collective-statement-1977/>. Accessed: 8 Jun 2025.
- [50] bell hooks, *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*, 2nd ed. New York, NY: Routledge, 2015.
- [51] J. A. Secord, "How scientific conversation became shop talk," *Isis*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 507–533, 2006.
- [52] Z. Mohamed, "Book review: I Am Malala fights for an education for all," The Knight News, 25 Nov 2018.
- [53] Prime Minister of Canada, "Canada and partners announce historic investment in education for women and girls in crisis and conflict situations," 9 Jun 2018. [Online].
- [54] K. Abiola, T. Asuni, and S. Ubogu, "#RaiseTheAge of consent in Nigeria," Malala Fund Voices,

4 Mar 2020. [Online]. Available:
<https://malala.org/news-and-voices/raisetheage-of-consent-in-nigeria>.

Accessed: 8 Jun 2025.

[55] M. Yousafzai, "UN speech on youth education — 12 Jul 2013," Archives of Women's Political Communication, 2013. [Online]. Available:

<https://awpc.cattcenter.iastate.edu/2018/03/05/un-speech-on-youth-education-july-12-2013/>.

Accessed: 8 Jun 2025.

[56] M. Yousafzai, "Speech to United Nations Security Council," Malala Fund, 9 Sept 2021. [Online].

[57] Malala Fund, "Malala Fund publishes 2024 annual report," 5 Sept 2024. [Online].

[58] Malala Fund, "Malala Fund welcomes two new hires to its executive leadership team," 14 Aug 2024. [Online].

[59] Malala Fund, "Nigeria," 2025. [Online].

[60] Malala Fund, "Victory in Brazil — Congress approves FUNDEB permanent," 25 Aug 2020. [Online].

[61] Malala Fund, "Announcing Malala Fund's first Laureate Partner," 21 Jan 2018. [Online]. Available:

<https://malala.org/news-and-voices/malala-fund-apple-partnership>. Accessed: 8 Jun 2025.

[62] UNESCO, "Brazil approves constitutional amendment guaranteeing free basic education," 29 May 2023.

[Online]. Available:

<https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/brazil-constitutional-amendment-free-basic-education>.

Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.

[63] Government of Canada, "G7 Charlevoix declaration on quality education for girls, adolescent girls

and women in developing countries," 9 Jun 2018. [Online]. Available:

<https://www.canada.ca/en/global-affairs/news/2018/06/g7-charlevoix-declaration-on-quality-education.html>.

Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.

[64] Apple and Malala Fund, "Apple-backed education projects to enrol 100 000 girls in India and

Latin America," Press release, 2019. [Online].

[65] Malala Fund, "Afghan girls have not gone to school for 1,000 days," Press release,

14 Jun 2024. [Online]. Available:
<https://malala.org/news-and-voices/1000-days-grants>. Accessed: 13 Jun 2025.