# STUDY ON LEVEL OF ANXIETY AMONG THE STUDENTS WHO APPEARING THE PUBLIC EXAMS

# Mr.P.John Leeson

Asst. Professor of Social Work Sree Saraswathi Thayagaraja College, Pollachia Email:johnleeson@stc.ac.in

# Mrs.R.Jayachitra

Assistant Professor of B.Com [PA] Sree Saraswathi Thayagaraja College, Pollachi Email:jayachitra@stc.ac.in

### **ABSTRACT**

The educational standards of school children in India are primarily evaluated based on written examinations. Every year, the Indian government conducts two board exams, otherwise referred to as public exams, at the end of the 10th (secondary education) and 12th (higher secondary education) grades. Due to guaranteed white collar job prospects, medicine, engineering, and management have been the most preferred choice of higher education by the students and/or their parents. Although there are several colleges in Tamil Nadu, only few of them are preferred by students, making the admission process very competitive. Thus, higher education being a prerequisite for successful future, the board exams has been the source of stress and anxiety for several students. In addition to the struggle to meet their own set values, today's students also have to satisfy the demand of their parents and the society, which adds further stress and anxiety.

**Keywords:** higher education, educational standards, job prospects, stress, anxiety

### INTRODUCTION

The latest report by the National Crime Records Bureau has positioned Tamil Nadu as the Indian state with highest suicide rate. At least in part, this is happening due to exam pressure among adolescents, emphasizing the imperative need to understand the pattern of anxiety and various factors contributing to it among students. Test

anxiety is actually a type of performance anxiety — a feeling someone might have in a situation where performance really counts or when the pressure's on to do well. Test anxiety is a combination of physiological over-arousal, tension and somatic symptoms, along with worry, dread, fear of failure, and catastrophizing, that occur before or during test situations. It is a physiological condition in which people experience extreme stress, anxiety, and discomfort during and/or before taking a test. This anxiety creates significant barriers to learning and performance. Research suggests that high levels of emotional distress have direct correlation to reduced academic performance and higher overall student drop-out rates. Test anxiety can have broader consequences, negatively affecting a student's social, emotional and behavioural development, as well as their feelings about themselves and school.

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Grace E. Benedict (August 2014) "TEST ANXIETY: AN EDUCATIONAL INTERVENTION". The purpose of the current study was to examine the effectiveness of an intervention targeted at reducing test anxiety while improving test taking skills and study ,strategies. Specifically, the current study explored the effective ness of the intervention with a population of university students identified as having learning difficulties through the university's center for students with disabilities. Although not statistically significant, the current study did find meaningful

differences (greater than 1 SD)among Test Anxiety Inventory pretest and post test scores for 2 of the 3 participantsin the study after 4 weeks of intervention, all participants' self reported Total TAI scores were lower following intervention. The current study did not find an increase in self-reported learning strategy usage from pretest to post-test among the participants. Additionally, all scores on the summative evaluation were positive. Specifically, participants strongly agreed that they had learned something new, it would benefit them in the future, they were happy with the Sessions overall.

Sigmund **Tobias** (2011)"Test Anxiety: Interference, Defective Skills, and Cognitive Capacity. This paper reviews the differences between two interpretations accounting for the poor test performance of high-anxious students: (a) that anxiety interferes with retrieval of prior learning, or (b) that either of two types of deficits in study or test-taking skills may account for these findings. Research results dealing with these hypotheses are reviewed. It was concluded that these were complementary, rather than mutually exclusive was advanced formulations. A hypothesis suggesting that test anxiety debilitates performance by reducing the cognitive capacity available for task solution, and that study or test-taking skills facilitate learning and test performance by reducing the cognitive capacity demanded by different tasks. Dawson R. Hancock (2010) "Effects of Test anxiety and Evaluative Threat on Students' Achievement and Motivation". Identification of factors that influence post-secondary student achievement and motivation in the classroom continues to be an important educational objective. The author investigated the interactive effects of learner characteristic, test anxiety, and the classroom variable, threat of evaluation, on the achievement and motivation of 61 postsecondary students assigned randomly to high- or lowevaluative threat conditions. Statistically significant interactions revealed that all the students, particularly the test-anxious students, performed poorly and were less motivated when exposed to highly evaluative classrooms. The findings expand previous research and should be considered by professors when they design and implement higher education courses.

### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### **Statement of the Problem**

Teenagers who are studying in the 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> classes experiences lot of stress and strain especially during the examination. There are certain factors etiological symptoms, Academic and self motivation are sufficient Important to be examined from exam anxiety point of view. This study examines those four aspects from tuition centre at Pollachi.

### Significance of the Study

This study is Significant as it provides the level of anxiety among the students while they are appearing for the public exams. The factors which create anxiety among them in various perspectives.

# Title of the Study

Study on Level of Anxiety among the Students who appearing the Public Exams

# **Objectives of the Study**

The objectives of this study were to ascertain the presence of test anxiety among adolescent students. To find out the level of test anxiety of higher secondary students.

To find out the level of self-actualization of the higher secondary students who appearing for public exam.

To access the relationship between test anxiety and academic performance.

### **Hypothesis**

The researcher framed following hypothesis to examine.

 $H_1$ : There is significant difference between age and mean score for nervousness was accepted.

The null hypothesis was tested for acceptance / rejection at 5 percent level of significance.

### Research Design

### **Universe of the Study**

Universe for this study is plus two students in and around Pollachi who attended tuition centres. Since the number of tuition centres and number of students not know the universe of study is undefined.

# **Sampling Frame**

The frame of this study comprised of selected 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> standard students from tuition centers in Pollachi.

# **Sampling Size**

All the adolescent students studying 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> numbering 50 were identified through snowball sampling method. Formed sampling size for the study and all these students were covered this method confirms to senses method of sampling.

### **Tools for data Collection**

As the respondents were not capable of filling the questionnaire, the researcher decided to adopt interview schedule method as the tool used for data collection.

### Data analysis

Data Analysis has been done by using SPSS package 17.00 version. The study comprises Percentage Analysis, Cross tabs and factor analysis.

### **Limitations of the Study**

This study is limited by the quality of responses of respondent at the time of study. Hence the views cannot be generalized.

A few respondents took longer time to express their opinion.

Individual person's attitude is dynamic so the findings of today may differ to the working of tomorrow and may become invalid.

### DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

### **Profile of Respondents**

SN	Factors	Grouping	Freq uency	Ave rage	Stan dard Devi ation				
		■ 15-16 Years	23						
1	Age	■ 16-17 Years	12	16.5	0.90				
						■ 17- 18Years	25	3	
		Total	60						
		<ul><li>Male</li></ul>	28						
2	Gender	■ Female	32						
		■ Total	60						

	F1 .:	<ul> <li>SSLC</li> </ul>	25		
3	Educatio n	• HSC	35		
		■ Total	60		
		<ul><li>Joint</li></ul>	21		
4	Types of	<ul> <li>Nuclear</li> </ul>	30		
	Family	<ul> <li>Extended</li> </ul>	9		
		<ul><li>Total</li></ul>	60		
		<ul><li>Both are alive</li></ul>	49		
5	Parents Living	<ul><li>Mother only alive</li></ul>	5		
	Status	<ul><li>Father only alive</li></ul>	6		
		<ul><li>Total</li></ul>	60		
		<ul><li>Coolie</li></ul>	7		
		<ul><li>Private Sector</li></ul>	26		
6	Occupati on of the Father	<ul> <li>Governme nt Sector</li> </ul>	15		
0			<ul> <li>Business</li> </ul>	7	
		<ul><li>Missing System</li></ul>	5		
		■ Total	55		
4	5	<ul><li>Coolie</li></ul>	15		
	Occupati	<ul><li>Private Sector</li></ul>	19		
10	on of	<ul> <li>Governme nt Sector</li> </ul>	2		
	Mother	■ Total	36		
		<ul><li>Missing System</li></ul>	24		
		Rs.5,000	10		
	Monthly Income	■ RS.8,000	10		
8	of the	Rs.10,000	23		
	Family	<ul> <li>More than Rs.10,000</li> </ul>	17		
		■ Total	60		
				·	

# Gender wise Mean Score for stress related to Academic Aspects

	Respond Ac			
Gender	8-16 Score (Low)	16-24 Score (High)	24-32 Score (Very High)	TOTAL
Male	0	27	1	28
Female	1	30	1	32
Total	1	57	2	60

Pearson's r = -0.080

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between sex and mean score for academic aspects Pearson's r = -0.080

### Gender wise Mean Score for self actualisation

	Respond Aca			
Gender	7-14 Score (Low)	14-21 Score (High)	21-28 Score (Very High)	TOTAL
Male	1	25	2	28
Female	1	30	1	32
Total	2	55	3	60

### Pearson's r = -0.062

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between age and mean score for self actualization (Pearson's r = -0.062)

# **Education Wise Mean Score for Academic Aspects**

	Respond Ac	10		
Educational Qualification	8-16 Score (Low)	16-24 Score (High)	24-32 Score (Very High)	TOTAL
SSLC	0	23	2	25
H.Sc.	1	34	0	35
Total	1	57	2	60

Pearson's r = -0.240

 $P \ 0.065 > P \ 0.05 \ \alpha \ 0.05$ 

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between educational and mean score for academic aspects (Pearson's r = -0.240

# Parent Living Status wise Mean Score for Academic Aspects

	Respond Ad				
Parents Living		16-24	24-32 Score	TOTAL I	
Status	8-16 Score Score		(Very	TOTAL	
	(Low)	(High)	High)		
Both are alive	1	46	2	49	
Mother only alive	0	5	0	5	
Father only alive	0	6	0	6	
Total	1	57	2	60	

Pearson's r = 0.033P 0.800 > P 0.05  $\alpha$  0.05

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between parents living status and mean score for academic aspects

### **Factor Analysis for Etiological Aspects**

The table gives the factor analysis for etiological factor influencing seven factors namely concentration, misfortunes, embarrassed, worry, cry, nightmares and sleep were identified. The SPSS output using principle components components analysis extracted 3 concentration, misfortune, embarrassed accounted for 65.687 percent of variants in this study. This means concentration is the for most component influencing factor responsible for etiological aspects.

# IJournals: International Journal of Social Relevance & Concern ISSN-2347-9698

### Volume 5 Issue 2 February 2017

### **Total Variance Explained**

### **Total Variance Explained**

Compo nent	Ini	tial Eigenv	Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			
	Total	% of Variance	Cumul ative %	Tot al	% of Vari ance	
Concent ration	1.796	25.652	25.652	1.79 6	25.6 52	25.6 52
Misfort une	1.561	22.304	47.956	1.56	22.3 04	47.9 56
Embarra ssed	1.242	17.741	65.697	1.24	17.7 41	65.6 97
Worry	.771	11.021	76.717			
Cry	.685	9.792	86.510			
Nightm ares	.533	7.608	94.117			
Sleep	.412	5.883	100.000			

Factor Ar	ıalysis f	for Sym <sub>l</sub>	ptoms As	spects
-----------	-----------	----------------------	----------	--------

The table gives the factor analysis for symptoms influencing seven factors namely nausea, handshakes, diarrhea, sweat ,headache, hungry, breath Were identified. The SPSS output using principle components analysis extracted 3 components namely nausea, handshake, diarrhea accounted for 79.881 percent variant of this study. This means nausea is the for most component influencing factor responsible for symptoms aspects

_							
				Extraction Sums of			
				Squared			
	Initia	l Eigenv	alues	Loadings			
		% of			% of		
Compo	Tota	Varian	Cumulat		Varia	Cumulati	
nent	1	ce	ive %	Total	nce	ve %	
Nausea		28.017	28.017	1.961	28.01	28.017	
	1				7		
Hands	1.54	22.005	50.022	1.540	22.00	50.022	
hakes	0				5		
Diarrh	1.08	15.485	65.506	1.084	15.48	65.506	
ea	4				5		
Sweat	1.00	14.375	79.881	1.006	14.37	79.881	
	6				5		
Heada	.567	8.096	87.977				
che							
Hungr	.479	6.846	94.823				
у							
Breath	.362	5.177	100.000				
			<u> </u>				

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Factor Analysis for Academic Aspects

The table gives the factor analysis for academic aspects influencing eight factors namely tension ,school, friends ,aversion ,understand, actively, afraid, relationship Were identified. The SPSS output using principle components analysis extracted 3 components namely tension, school, friends accounted for 63.366 percent variant of this study. This means tension is the for most component influencing factor responsible for academic aspects

### **Factor Analysis for Self Actualisation**

### **Total Variance Explained**

				Extraction Sums of Square			
	Ini	tial Eigenv	alues	Loadings			
Compon		% of	Cumulat		% of	Cumulati	
ent	Total	Variance	ive %	Total	Variance	ve %	
Tension	2.434	30.421	30.421	2.43	30.421	30.421	
School	1.519	18.990	49.411	1.51 9	18.990	49.411	
Friends	1.116	13.955	63.366	1.11 6	13.955	63.366	
Aversion	.978	12.227	75.593				
understa nd	.778	9.725	85.318				
Actively	.511	6.382	91.700				
Afraid	.358	4.477	96.177				
Relation ship	.306	3.823	100.000				

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

#### **Total Variance Explained**

Ini	tial Eigen	values	Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings		
% of				% of	
	Varianc	Cumulativ		Varianc	Cumula
Total	e	e %	Total	e	tive %
1.676	23.943	23.943	1.676	23.943	23.943
1.449	20.705	44.647	1.449	20.705	44.647
1.146	16.366	61.013	1.146	16.366	61.013
.955	13.650	74.662			
.905	12.931	87.593			
.514	7.344	94.937			
.354	5.063	100.000			
	Total 1.676 1.449 1.146 .955 .905 .514	% of Varianc Total e 1.676 23.943 1.449 20.705 1.146 16.366 .955 13.650 .905 12.931 .514 7.344	Varianc         Cumulativ           Total         e         e %           1.676         23.943         23.943           1.449         20.705         44.647           1.146         16.366         61.013           .955         13.650         74.662           .905         12.931         87.593           .514         7.344         94.937	Initial Eigenvalues Squ    % of   Varianc   Cumulativ     Total   e   e %   Total     1.676   23.943   23.943   1.676     1.449   20.705   44.647   1.449     1.146   16.366   61.013   1.146     .955   13.650   74.662     .905   12.931   87.593     .514   7.344   94.937	Initial Eigenvalues Squared Loa    % of Varianc   Cumulativ   Worianc

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

The above table gives the factor analysis for self actualisation influencing seven factors namely goal, earning, strain, less fear, shrink, self confident, perfectionist Were identified. The SPSS output using principle components analysis extracted 3 components namely goal, earning, strain accounted for 61.013 percent variant of this study. This means goal is the for most component influencing factor responsible for self actualisation

### **FINDINGS**

### **Profile of the Respondents**

Age wise 25 out of 60 (41.6 Percent) respondents were in the age group of between 17 to 18 years. Gender wise 32 out of 60 (53 Percent) respondents

were female.

Education wise 32 out of 60 (58 percent) were studied upto H.Sc.

Types of family wise 30 out of 60 (50 percent) were from nuclear family.

Parents Living Status wise 49 out of 60 (82 percent) are having both mother and father.

Father's occupation wise 26 out of 55 (47 percent) were working in private sector.

Mother's occupation wise 19 out of 36 (52.7 percent) were working in private sector.

Monthly income of the family wise 23 out of 60 (38 percent) were earning the monthly income of Rs.10,000.

### **Factors influencing Etiological Aspects**

Majority of 49 out of 60 respondents (81.6 Percent) were in the age group of 17-18 years scored high mean score for etiological aspect.

49 out of 60 respondents (81.66 Percent) gender wise female scored high mean score for etiological aspect.

49 out of 60 respondents (81.66 % Percent) educational status wise H.Sc. students scored high mean score for etiological aspects.

49 out of 60 respondents (81.66 % Percent) parent living status wise, students who are having both parents scored high mean score for etiological aspects.

### **Factors influencing Symptoms Aspects**

42 out of 60 respondents (70% Percent) gender wise female scored high mean score for symptoms aspect. 42 out of 60 respondents (81.66 % Percent) parents living status wise parent living status wise, students who are having both parents scored high mean score for symptom aspects.

### **Factors influencing Academic Aspects**

57 out of 60 respondents (95 Percent) were in the age group of 17-18 years scored high mean score for academic aspect.

57 out of 60 respondents (95% Percent) gender wise female scored high mean score for stress related to academic aspects.

57 out of 60 respondents (95% Percent) educational wise H.Sc. Students scored high mean score for academic aspects.

57 out of 60 respondents (95.00 % Percent) gender wise parent living status wise, students who are having both parents scored high mean score for academic aspects.

### **Factors influencing Self Actualization**

55 out of 60 respondents (91.66 Percent) were in the age group of 17-18 years in the age wise scored high mean score for self actualization aspect.

55 out of 60 respondents (91.66 % Percent) gender wise female scored high mean score for self actualization aspect.

55 out of 60 respondents (91.66 % Percent) education wise H.Sc. Students scored high mean score for self actualisation aspects.

55 out of 60 respondents (91.66 % Percent) parents living status wise students who are having both parents scored high mean score for self actualisation aspects

### **Findings for Correlation Analysis**

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between age and mean score for etiological aspects (Pearson's r = 0.096)

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between age and mean score for etiological aspects (Pearson's r = -0.254)

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between age and mean score for etiological aspects Pearson's r = -0.196

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between age and mean score for etiological aspects (Pearson's r = -0.106)

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between age and mean score for symptoms aspects (Pearson's r = -0.146)

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between sex and mean score for academic aspects (Pearson's r = -0.080)

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between age and mean score for self actualization (Pearson's r = -0.062)

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between educational status wise mean score for etiological aspects (Pearson's r=0.0154)

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between Educational and mean score for symptoms aspects (Pearson's r = 0.217)

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between educational and mean score for academic aspects (Pearson's r = -0.240)

Correlation analysis showed a negative association between education and mean score for self actualisation (Pearson's r = -0.0186)

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between parents living status and mean score for etiological aspects (Pearson's r = 0.089)

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between parents living status and mean score for symptoms aspects related to nervousness (Pearson's r = 0.130)

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between parents living status and mean score for academic aspects (Pearson's r = 0.033)

Correlation analysis showed a positive association between parents living status and mean score for self actualization (Pearson's r = 0.026)

# Factor Analysis for Factor influencing for etiological aspects, symptoms aspects, academic aspects and self actualisation.

Concentration is the for most component influencing factor responsible for etiological aspects.

Nausea is the for most component influencing factor responsible for symptoms aspects.

Tension is the for most component influencing factor responsible for academic aspects.

Goal is the for most component influencing factor responsible for self actualisation.

# Finding for Hypothesis

There is a significant difference between age and mean score for nervousness was accepted.

### **SUGGESTIONS**

Self development programmes to be given at school. Students to be trained on self confidence.

Counseling for stress on academic matters.

Confidence building programmes to be given to students.

Recruit school counselor

### **CONCLUSION**

This study entitled Text Anxiety among the Students who Appearing for Public Exams in Pollachi. It entitled four dimension namely Factors Influencing for Etiological Factors Influencing for Aspects, Factors Influencing for Symptoms Aspects, Factors Influencing for Academic Aspects and Factors Influencing for Self Actualization. Each dimensions carried as many as many factors. The study findings used factor analysis to determine principle factors influencing the various dimensions. The results point out improvement to be made in the psychological factors of the students.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

<u>Allan Wigfield</u>, <u>Jacquelynne S. Eccles</u>, Development of Achievement Motivation, 2006

Angus s. Mcdonald, the Prevalence and Effects of Test Anxiety in. School Children., 2001, Pg. No. 21 National Foundation for Educational Research.

Barbara R., Sarason, Irwin G. Sarason, Abnormal Psychology: The Problem of Maladaptive Behavior 11Ed.2008.

Benedict, Grace E., M.A., Test Anxiety: An Educational Middle Intervention Tennessee State University, 2014, 62 Pages

Chapell, Marks.; Blanding, Z. Benjamin; Silverstein, Michael E.; Takahashi, Masami; Newman, Brian; Gubi, Aaron; Mccann, Nicole. Journal of Educational Psychology, v 97 n2 P268-274, May 2005

Culler, Ralph e.; Holahan, Charles J, Test Anxiety and Academic Performance: The Effects of Study-Related Behaviors, 2006, Pages 16-20.

Dawson R. Hancock. Publishing Models and Article Dates Explained, Published Online: 02 Dec 2010, Article View: 520, Pages 83-95.

Dr. Bettina seipp – Leitung Abteilung Forschung ... Stiftung Mercator, projekt-Nummern: PN 03-142 (2004-2006)

Irwin G. Sarason and Barbara R. Sarason ... Journal of Social and Personal Relationships, February 2009 Jerrell C.Cassady, Ronald E.Johnson Cognitive Test Anxiety and Academic Performance, April 2002, Volume 27, Issue 2, Pages 147-371

Joachim Stober (28 jul 2004), J"dimensions of Test Anxiety: Relations to Ways of Coping with Pre-Exam Anxiety and Uncertaintyan, 25, 2007 - pages 213-226

John W Atkinson, Test Anxiety Conceived as Motive to Approach Success and Motive to Avoid Failure, 2005

Ray Hembree, Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, Adrian .... Correlates, Causes, Effects, and Treatment of Test Anxiety, A Publication of the Literacy Research Association June 1, 2005, 37: 237-260.

Sigmund Tobias and Thomas M. Duffy, Test Anxiety: ... Mar 23, 2011 ... Pg No. 1 - 12 Of 26

Spielberger, Charles D.; Vagg, Peter Robert, Test Anxiety: a Transactional Process Model. [Reviewed] Research on Examination Stress and Test Anxiety, 2000, Pg.No. 201-210

Zeidner, Moshe, The Science of Emotional Intelligence: Knowns and Unknowns. 2007.